



United States District Court
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCY

TO: U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

FROM: N. Peltier, Deputy Clerk	RECEIVED DATE: October 8, 2015
CASE NO. 15-cv-01376-JLS-JLB	DOC FILED BY: Clarice Tuck
CASE TITLE: Tuck v. Guardian Protection Services, Inc. et al	
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Plaintiff Motion to Extend Time	

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

Civ.L. Rule 7.1 or 47.1 - Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document;

Civ.L. Rule 5.1 - Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation;

Date Forwarded: October 9, 2015

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
<input type="checkbox"/>	The document is NOT to be filed. But instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected documents to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court copy retained by chambers

Any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to
Civil Local Rule 83.1 or Criminal Local Rule 57.1.

Date: October 13, 2015

CHAMBERS OF: Hon. Janis L. Sammartino

cc: All Parties

By: /s/ SAD